

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,429	09/16/2003	Alan Whyte	KNN-007	KNN-007 1559	
21323	7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			JILLIONS, JOHN M		
HIGH STREE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02110	3654			
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/200	DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/D
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/663,429	WHYTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	John M. Jillions	3654	ldroop
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "clutch" of claim 8, the "pair of buffers" of claim 9 and the "urging assembly" of claim 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 14 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 14, line 7 and claim 16, line 7, --a—should be added before "first". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear what the "pair of buffers" of claim 9 and the "urging assembly" of claim 11 are referring to since these elements are nowhere shown or adequately described.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2-5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2, line 3, "the roll of material" is without antecedent basis.

 Claim 3, line 3, "the structure" is without antecedent basis. Is this meant to be the "vehicle"?

 Claim 9, line 1, "any of claims 2" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/663,429

Art Unit: 3654

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-2, 7, 10, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gordon. The roll support of Gordon that is used to apply paper to a vehicle includes a support frame 10, translation assembly (wheels) 15 and a roll support or applicator 21, 25.
- 9. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yasnogorodskiy et al or Tetzner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-2, 7-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tetzner. The particular type of structure to which the material of Tetzner is applied would have been an obvious design consideration to one of ordinary skill in the art since Tetzner could have applied his material to any structure, whether a boxes on a pallet, a vehicle, a house, etc. No unobvious result is attained by applying material to a pallet rather than a vehicle. Regarding claim 8 note the clutch or brake 40 of Tetzner, col. 3, lines 56-60.
- 12. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasnogorodskiy et al. Again the particular object against which the material in Yasnogorodskiy et al is applied would have been an obvious design consideration. Regarding claim 8 note the collar member 80 of Yasnogorodskiy et al that functions as a brake.

Art Unit: 3654

13. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasnogorodskiy et al in view of Arnold. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an urging assembly in Yasnogorodskiy et al in order to press the material against the object to which the material is applied in view of the teaching of Arnold, note urging assembly 26, to better apply the material to the object without wrinkles, etc.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Claims 3-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Marty, Gardner, Storm and Pacione are cited to show other roll handling systems to apply material to a surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Jillions whose telephone number is (703) 308-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:15 - 5:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John M. Jillions
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3654

jmj